



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,177	12/01/2003	Wilfried Matthis	56524/B884	2568
23363	7590	08/02/2007		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP				
PO BOX 7068				
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068				
EXAMINER				
PRIDDY, MICHAEL B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3733				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/02/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

41

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/726,177

Applicant(s)

MATTHIS ET AL.

Examiner

Annette R. Reimers

Art Unit

3733

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Annette R. Reimers.

(3) _____.

(2) Saeid Mirsafian, reg. # 52,035.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,10 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lombardo (US Patent Publication Number 2001/0001119) Jackson (US Patent Number 6,224,598 and Jackson (US Patent Number 6,368,321).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

EDUARDO C. ROBERT
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Annette R. Reimers
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Mr. Mirsafian, contacted examiner to discuss the claims in reference to the cited prior art, i.e. Lombardo (US Patent Publication Number 2001/0001119) Jackson (US Patent Number 6,224,598) and Jackson (US Patent Number 6,368,321). Mr. Mirsafian presented proposed amendments and persuasive arguments that appeared to overcome the above cited prior art. Examiner suggested that applicant submit the proposed amendments and arguments in a formal response so that she could take everything into consideration upon her review of the application. Examiner stated that further search and/or consideration may be necessary. Examiner further suggested scheduling an additional interview prior to the next office action with examiner and her supervisor, Eduardo Robert.